VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD PLEASANT PRAIRIE WATER UTILITY SANITATION UTILITY

9915 39th Avenue Pleasant Prairie, WI December 21, 2009 6:30 p.m.

A Regular Meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, December 21, 2009. Meeting called to order at 6:30 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Steve Kumorkiewicz, Clyde Allen and Mike Serpe. Trustee Yuhas was excused. Also present were Mike Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Jean Werbie, Community Development Director; Mike Spence, Village Engineer; John Steinbrink, Jr., Director of Public Works; Kathy Goessl, Finance Director/Treasurer; and Jane Romanowski, Village Clerk. Five citizens attended the meeting.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL
- 4. MINUTES OF MEETINGS DECEMBER 7 AND 14, 2009

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE VILLAGE BOARD MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 7 AND DECEMBER 14, 2009 AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. Consider Resolution #09-46 - Final Resolution authorizing construction of public improvements and levying special assessment against benefited property for the installation of new water laterals for properties not previously served on 85th Street West of Cooper Road.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, I'm going to have Mike explain the final details on this, but we have conducted a public hearing on December 7th of this year. I'm sorry, adopted a resolution declaring out intent to levy special assessments pursuant to statutes for the installation of new water laterals for property not previously served on 85th Street.

As part of the 85th Street roadway improvements we discovered that there were some parcels that did not have the water laterals installed, and as such we wanted to get those connected because if at some point in the future the property owners wanted to get water, we would be faced with breaking open a brand new public road, and it would be a more extensive excavation since it's now a wider road.

We sent out a number of written notices for people to be able to sign it agreeing to the assessment. This assessment would become activated only upon connection to the water main. They wouldn't be due and payable prior to that point. We did receive those back, but we did have three parcels where we didn't get them back, so the statutes require that we conduct this hearing, describe the project and give the residents their opportunity to comment on them. So with that, Mike, if you want to give us some more detail on this project.

Mike Spence:

Sure. Mr. President and Board, as Mike said there are three areas or three connections that our Village construction crew put in during the reconstruction of 85th Street, and the assessment values are the costs of the materials and labor to put in those three services. As Mike indicated, the assessments would be deferred until which time the parties do connect to the water system. So I guess that's all I have.

John Steinbrink:

This being a public hearing I will open it up to public comment and question. We ask that you use the microphone and give us your name and address for the record. Did we have a sign up sheet?

Jane Romanowski:

Yes, Linda Broesch.

Linda Broesch:

Hello. My name is Linda Broesch, 5203 85th Street. The reason I didn't sign the agreement, we didn't sign the agreement is because I just wanted to come and address the Board as kind of a last ditch plea. I've lived in this property purchased it I think it was 1985. And at that time we have a well on the property that was dug for four families so it's 176 feet deep. It works very well. I've probably spent \$500 in maintenance on it in 25 years, and I have no reason to switch over to Village water except for the fact that the well is on my property but it runs into the neighbor's house under my driveway into my house. And there was a shared well agreement that was crafted in 1944 between the two properties.

But in the mid '90s right around the time that the Whittier School expansion came, there were several owners that lived in the house, and all of a sudden it was like the third owner and one day he's digging up his front yard and he said, well, I have to put in Village water. I've been instructed by the Village I have to do it within a year's time. And I'm kind of like but I have this well agreement. Well, the poor guy he didn't have any money and he said I have to do what the Village is telling me to do. So I pretty much took over responsibility of the well, and he's agreed for the last ten years to have the well controls in his basement which is not a good idea if you ever want to try and go sell your house because we'd be without water.

So now we've kind of been waiting for the road to be done so that we could tie into the water. And when I went to all the meetings in May I was told that, oh, when the road is opened up we're going to put those pipes in and then you need to do this, this and this. So I contacted George Principe. I got a bid for \$3,200 to put water into the house. I talked to a person in Burlington, got another bid for \$1,200 to close off the well. Went to the bank, took out a home equity loan for the improvements, and then in September got the bill for the \$2,000 to actually hook into the water.

I realize it was kind of a timing thing, but I don't know what to do about the fact that there was this agreement between the two properties. Now it's kind of like the responsibility for me to close off the well. I realize I don't have to close it, I could keep it open, get a water sample and use it for outdoor use and that but that's not really feasible. So I thought, what the heck, I'm just going to come down here and throw it on the table and just say, you know what, I think it would be almost fair for the Village to do something to pay for half of me closing off the well or half of laying those pipes because this has been not the best situation but what the heck.

The other thing is, too, we also lost 5,000 square feet of our backyard when the school expanded. Now we lost our front. Our rural road became a freeway, and it's all in the name of improvements and I understand that. But I would never want to go through a \$6,000 expense and give up a good well if I hadn't been put in the situation where the controls are in my neighbor's basement and he has a . . . well. So thank you for your time. Have a good holiday.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you.

Jane Romanowski:

There aren't any more sign ups, Mr. President.

John Steinbrink:

Anyone else wishing to speak on this item? Hearing none, I'll close the public hearing and open it up to Board comment or question.

Mike Serpe:

Mrs. Broesch, I just have a couple questions. I think with the amount of expense that you're incurring here for closing the well you could probably get by a lot cheaper by moving the controls into your house and then hang onto the well. Did you give that a thought?

Linda Broesch:

Well, I did give that a thought, but it just seems like every so many years there's a surprise about different things. I don't have any guarantees that five years from now we won't have to hook into the water. Also, too, 25 years no expenses, it's about to happen.

I understand, just from a personal-

Linda Broesch:

I appreciate your input.

Mike Serpe:

I went through the same thing you're going through right now, a shared well with my neighbor. We elected to keep the well and hook up with the City water. What you just said, outside use, water the garden, wash the cars, whatever, it's a very handy thing. It's a \$25 charge to the DNR every two or three years or something like that to have it tested. It's just worth it. You may want to, before you shut everything down and go to that expense you may want to reconsider this.

Linda Broesch:

I think that part of it is that the piping goes—the way that the piping runs we'd have to—and because the well originally where they placed it was prior to when the school was built so it's far back in the yard. So I think it's the fact that the piping goes from our house to the neighbor's house underneath our driveway into our house, and we would have to kind of reroute the whole connections. You don't know. You don't know what the best thing is to do but I appreciate your input. Do you have any other questions?

Mike Serpe:

Okay. I understand what you're going through but-

Linda Broesch:

Well, it is kind of bazaar. You don't hear about it every day.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Excuse me, I've got a question for you. Do you have a basement?

Linda Broesch:

Yes.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

A finished basement or it's open?

Village Board Meeting December 21, 2009 Linda Broesch: It's semi-finished. Steve Kumorkiewicz: Actually, if you are in the back of your property you can run a connection through the front of your property without going around. Linda Broesch: From the well? John Steinbrink: Maybe you ought to explain a little more. Steve Kumorkiewicz: What I'm talking about is she's got the entrance of water, the input, in the back of the house. Linda Broesch: Actually, we had put on an addition so it comes in the side. Steve Kumorkiewicz: Oh, comes in the side of the house, okay. Linda Broesch: The water from the street would come in the front, of course, and the water from the well is actually coming into the side. John Steinbrink:

I encourage you to talk to the professionals.

Linda Broesch:

I appreciate everyone's input.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

That's all. Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

Seeing no further questions we'll close the public hearing and once again open it up to Board comment or questions.

Mike Serpe:

I have no other comment, but I would move approval of Resolution 09-46.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve for adoption of Resolution 09-46. Further comment or question on that motion?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Yes, according to this when the two residents signed the waiver they hook up eventually when they require the water, right?

Mike Pollocoff:

That's correct.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So there's no need to go and pay now. It could be ten years from now . . . paying this price. That price is not going to change whenever you hook up so this is a good deal. Because ten years from now there's . . . going to be nothing. Thank you, Mike.

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-46 - FINAL RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS AND LEVYING SPECIAL ASSESSMENT AGAINST BENEFITED PROPERTY FOR THE INSTALLATION OF NEW WATER LATERALS FOR PROPERTIES NOT PREVIOUSLY SERVED ON 85TH STREET WEST OF COOPER ROAD; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

B. Consider Resolution #09-45 - Final Resolution authorizing construction of public improvements and levying special assessments against benefited property for the construction of sanitary sewer along Green Bay Road from Timber Ridge Drive North to Springbrook Road and along Springbrook Road from Green Bay Road west approximately 1,400 feet.

Mike Spence:

This resolution is part of the Village's commitment to abandon the 73-1 waste water treatment plant. There are a number of components related to that. This one is to reroute the sanitary sewer from the pump station in the Timber Ridge Development, reroute that to our new South Central Lift Station because the old 73-1 pump station will be abandoned as part of our abandonment of the treatment plant.

So this particular project will run from the Timber Ridge existing pump station north along Highway 31 on the east side of 31 or Green Bay Road there, and then crossing ML, and then on the north side of ML it will proceed west/northwest, and then it will cross and then discharge into the new South Central pump station. The project itself includes approximately 2,500 feet of gravity sewer. The construction is going to be done by Village construction crew.

There are six parcels that are affected by the assessment, however only two of them are assessable. One is for laterals off of ML, and that will be for future development of those properties. The second assessment is for the Jerry and Anne Rabin, and they're the parcel on the corner of ML and Green Bay Road. Again, I believe that's a deferred assessment so they wouldn't have to hook up at the current time.

So we put together an assessment schedule. The pipe is upsized for future development. The assessment is only based on our typical standard of eight inch gravity sewer. So we recommend that we move forward with this resolution.

Mike Pollocoff:

By and large, Mr. President, this is an assessment that's close to an urbanized area with sanitary sewer, but we're really running through a lot of undeveloped land that at some point in the future, depending on how development occurs, this line will be accessible and usable, and at that point the assessments would be activated. We have no plans or knowledge of anything that's planned in this area, but nonetheless we need to be able to effectuate that abandonment to get from point A to point C and this is the best route to take.

Mike Spence:

If I could just add to that, too, again when we do make improvements like this we do our best to look at the overall development of the Village. As Mike said, this line will be set up to handle future development.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So right now this is A-1, agriculture?

Mike Spence:

Excuse me?

Village Board Meeting December 21, 2009 Steve Kumorkiewicz: It's A-1, agricultural? Mike Pollocoff: It's a little bit of everything. Steve Kumorkiewicz: Next to manufacturing on the south side of the industrial park. Mike Pollocoff: It's Ag-1 and in the new Comp. Plan it's going to be shown as manufacturing, manufacturing reserve on it. Mike Spence: Yes. Steve Kumorkiewicz: Okay, thank you. Mike Spence: And it will also be able to handle, again, be able to handle flows from the east from ML as well. There will be a stub there. John Steinbrink: This is a public hearing. We'll open it up to public comment and question. Do we have a sign up sheet?

Yes, Jerry Rabin.

Jane Romanowski:

John Steinbrink:

We ask that you use the microphone and give us your name and address for the record.

Jerry Rabin:

Jerry Rabin, 6717 Springbrook Road. In regards to the six property owners that are being affected by the sewer line, two are being assessed. The two that are being assessed would it be a lower assessment if all six paid an assessment?

Mike Spence:

I can answer that. The assessment is based on a front footage, so it wouldn't be any lower. You're only getting assessed for the front footage for your corner lot. As such, the assessment isn't going to cover the total price of the project because the other four aren't going to be assessed. So it would not be lower.

Jerry Rabin:

Would it be to the advantage of the Village if all six were assessed?

Mike Pollocoff:

Well, it would financially but I mean I think what we'd come up with is we'd be assessing, in the case of a cemetery, it's really hard to justify that they'll be using the sewer. Big Oaks, that's wetland, they're not going to be able to have access to be able to construct anything to use it. The Baptist Church they already have access to sanitary sewer so we can't assess them again for another main that's coming by their property and that would also be the case with Big Oaks.

So when assessments for virtually anything are done in Wisconsin once you have that improvement, in the previous instance the previous hearing there was water main on the road, there was three parcels, well more than that, but three that came before tonight, they didn't have access to the water so they have to pay for it. When we did that improvement on the water main there and redid the laterals, the people that already had laterals or had those improvements we can't charge them a second time. So once you get a public improvement if the nature of that improvement changes in front of your property, is expanded or enhanced, you can still only use so much sewer. You can still only use so much water so we can't come back and assess you again.

So this is why we do these assessments at this point even though actively no one will be using the sanitary sewer yet. In your case it's not in a position for you to use yet, but we need to identify these assessments that are out there so that at some point when people use it in the future the Village has a chance to recoup the funds for it. Although financially it would be nice for the Village it would also be illegal.

Jerry Rabin:

We're being the corner lot the sewer is going down the west side of our property but we cannot hook into that because the front of the house is on the north side and the holding tank is on the

north side. The driveway is on the north side, and we are approximately 200 feet from the projected sewer line. Is there any way that we can somehow get to the 200 feet to the sewer line?

Mike Pollocoff:

There is, and that's why we assessed your entire parcel and then we're holding that assessment in abeyance until that sewer main does go down Springbrook where you could be connected. But to do it as part of this project we would need to hold another assessment hearing, because the property across the street from you would also have access at that point. So we'd need to give them an opportunity to say, okay, not only are we going to put the sewer main up Highway 31 to Springbrook, we're also going to go up ML, what is that probably a couple hundred feet, and provide sanitary service to your property and to the one directly north of you. So that could be done, but as part of this project we weren't constructing it.

Typically we install sanitary sewer when the Kenosha County Sanitarian has given us some indication that there's failing septics and those problems need to be alleviated or by petition. In the case of this project we're doing it solely for the abandonment of the treatment plant. So if you wanted sanitary sewer service to your property as part of this project, we would need to conduct another hearing and give your neighbor across the street some opportunity to say whether or not they wanted it. Once sewer does go by and you do have access to it, like if your holding tank was on the west side of your property and that's where the sewer can get in, then under State law you'd have a year to connect. So once it does go by and it's accessible to you, we have no choice but to connect you within a year. But if the sewer isn't directly available to you then until we get that sewer in a place where you can connect reasonably then you don't have to connect.

Jerry Rabin:

So what you're saying is that once the sewer line goes through you have one year and you must connect?

Mike Pollocoff:

Right.

Jerry Rabin:

The assessment that we have will that change?

Mike Pollocoff:

No. You're going to be assessed right now in 2009 dollars. So if that project goes through in-

Jerry Rabin:

We're being assessed close to \$18,000.

Mike Pollocoff:

Right, that's in 2009 dollars. So if that sewer does go down ML five years from now your assessment is still at the same rate, because we can only assess you once as I said so we're assessing you now. I'm not making light of that number. That is a—

Jerry Rabin:

So the lateral then is \$2,500?

Mike Pollocoff:

Right. Whereas on a water lateral like in the previous hearing was \$1,500 and change, sewer mains are a lot deeper, and the deeper you are the more expensive the laterals are, the more expensive the main is.

Jerry Rabin:

Have the engineers thought about bringing down the sewer line from Prairie Lane School? I think it ends right at the continental divide.

Mike Pollocoff:

We kind of scoot over the continental divide. We're probably about 800 feet beyond 47th Avenue. So our long-range plan with the Regional Plan Commission and the State is at some point, as Mike indicated, this sanitary sewer is designed to be able to handle sanitary sewer going all the way up Springbrook to that point where the other sewer main going towards Lake Michigan ends. But we're not proposing it at this time. Right now that land in that entire area there is really set up as a residential reserve. We're trying not to start early development in that area. There are a lot of large lots. The extensive of sanitary sewer just as you can see with your own lot is very expensive right now.

So until such time as residents want to actively start developing their property, or the alternative is if Kenosha County Sanitarian feels that there's failing septics in the area and they notify us that we have to clean up the ground water or the water supplies in that area, we won't do anything in there. But it's not your typical area of Pleasant Prairie where we put sewer in where you have a lot of smaller lots and higher density development. It's more rural lots. And we really try not to put sewers in those areas prematurely if they're not failing because it is very expensive. It's expensive for the Village and expensive for the property owners.

Jerry Rabin:

I spoke briefly with my neighbor in regards to extending—you're already there with the hole, with the equipment, and it's a matter of just bringing it 200 feet. And I think the neighbor is going to be in the assessment of about the same as we are. So you pick up two property owners by bringing it while you're there 200 feet.

Mike Pollocoff:

Right. Do they want sanitary sewer?

Jerry Rabin:

I spoke briefly with them and he needed some more information. He did not get a notice in regards to this meeting.

Mike Pollocoff:

Right, because he's right at the point there where he wouldn't be affected by this assessment.

Jerry Rabin:

Right.

Mike Pollocoff:

If he wanted sanitary sewer and he gave us a letter saying I request to be included in this project and we could do that and he would sign a waiver of notice so he wouldn't have to come to the meeting, we could get started and include it as part of this project. But without that we'd have to conduct a hearing.

Jerry Rabin:

So you want the onus on me to talk to him?

Mike Pollocoff:

We'll call him. It's just been a policy of the Board that we're not going to force sewer on somebody unless they need it or want it. But we don't mind giving him a call and letting him know what his options are.

Jerry Rabin:

So it comes to about \$70 a foot?

Mike Pollocoff:

Yeah.

Mike Spence:

\$72.50 a foot. Now, this is your neighbor to the immediate east?

Village Board Meeting December 21, 2009 Mike Pollocoff: North. Mike Spence: You're talking about the neighbor across the street? Jerry Rabin: Across the street, yes. Mike Spence: I think we had talked to him and he stated he wasn't interested at that time. At the same time we went and talked to you, I thought we had also talked to him and he expressed that he wasn't interested. But we can certainly call him again. Jerry Rabin: When do you think you would be at that intersection with the construction part? Mike Pollocoff: John, do you know when? John Steinbrink, Jr.: It would probably be within about maybe five weeks potentially. Jerry Rabin: Okay, thank you. Jane Romanowski:

John Steinbrink:

There aren't any more sign ups, Mr. President.

Anyone else wishing to speak on this item? Hearing none, I'll close the public hearing and open it up to Board comment or question.

Mike Serpe:

So we can approve this resolution, and if Mr. Rabin wants to convince his neighbor to come forward with an agreement that they'll both take the sewer we can do that without an additional public hearing?

Mike Pollocoff:

I'll put this on Mike, but Mike will give him a call and just kind of reiterate what the options are and the path. It would be less expensive for, and I can't remember the guy's name, but it would be less expensive for his neighbor to include it as a part of this project, as well as Mr. Rabin, rather than remobilizing again for another project once we're gone from there.

Mike Serpe:

Right. Everybody seems to know what to do so I'd move approval of Resolution 09-45.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve for adoption of Resolution 09-45. Any discussion on this motion?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-45 - FINAL RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS AND LEVYING SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AGAINST BENEFITED PROPERTY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF SANITARY SEWER ALONG GREEN BAY ROAD FROM TIMBER RIDGE DRIVE NORTH TO SPRINGBROOK ROAD AND ALONG SPRINGBROOK ROAD FROM GREEN BAY ROAD WEST APPROXIMATELY 1,400 FEET; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

C. Consider Resolution #09-52 creating the PraireWood Street Lighting Utility District.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, this is a resolution to, as the map indicates up on the wall, create PrairieWood Street Lighting District. The Village has established a policy where we'll provide for a single light at an intersection and pay for that as part of the general fund, but any lights above and beyond that would have to be paid for by special assessment in that district. We've completed those lights this year and they're up and running now. And this resolution establishes the boundaries for the district and provides for the collection of taxes on an actual, not taxes really,

the collection of special assessment for the properties in the district for funding the expenses in that district.

What we do is we take on a front foot basis the number of lights that exist in the district, and then we take that cost and appropriate it by the footage. So you can see the estimated monthly charge from We Energies is \$1,623.84. The yearly charge is \$19,486.13. On to that we add an administrative fee. What's the percentage on that, Kathy? Three percent, so that ranges to 68 cents to \$1.35 per month. We have these throughout the Village in the LakeView East, LakeView West, Prairie Ridge Shopping Center and a number of subdivisions that installed more lights than normal. The primary properties in here that are involved is the Community Development Authority property, Uline and Jockey. I'd recommend that the Village Board adopt Resolution 09-52 as presented.

John Steinbrink:

Once again, this being a public hearing I will open it up to public comment or question.

Jane Romanowski:

There are no sign ups, Mr. President.

John Steinbrink:

Anyone wishing to speak on this item? Hearing none, I'll close the public hearing and open it up to Board comment or question. Clyde?

Clyde Allen:

I'll make a motion to approve Resolution 09-52.

Mike Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike for adoption of Resolution 09-52. Further comment or question on this motion?

ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-52 CREATING THE PRAIREWOOD STREET LIGHTING UTILITY DISTRICT; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

- D. Proposed 2010 Sewer Utility, Water Utility, Clean Water Utility, Sanitation Utility and Fleet Internal Service Fund budgets.
 - 1) Citizen Comments
 - 2) Closing of Budget Hearing

- 3) Board of Trustees Comments
- 4) Resolution #09-47 Resolution Relating to Adoption of the 2010 Sewer Utility Budget.
- 5) Resolution #09-48 Resolution Relating to Adoption of the 2010 Water Utility Budget.
- 6) Resolution #09-49 Resolution Relating to Adoption of the 2010 Clean Water Utility Budget.
- 7) Resolution #09-50 Resolution Relating to Adoption of the 2010 Sanitation Utility Budget.
- 8) Resolution #09-51 Resolution Relating to Adoption of the 2010Fleet Internal Service Fund Budget.

Kathy Goessl:

This is the organizational chart for the Village. And the utility budgets and the fleet internal service fund are being presented this evening. They're identified as green on this chart. They include the water utility, the sewer utility, clean water utility and sanitation and fleet internal service fund. The blue are general government and they were presented and approved last month. And the Recreational Enterprise in yellow will be presented in January. The utility budgets were presented and discussed in the working session one week ago on December 14th. Tonight I will present a summary version of these budgets.

I'll start with the sewer utility budget. This is summarized financials for the sewer utility. All the numbers are rounded to the nearest thousands. Detailed information is available by line item in the department's submitted budget report and also the Administrator's summary budget binder. Operating revenue for the sewer utility for 2009 are being budgeted at 2009 actual levels which are down \$312,000 when compared to the 2009 budget. The budget for 2009 was projected to increase over 2008 by \$206,000, but that did not occur in 2009 because of the economy and the cooler than average summer.

For the operating expenses they are down \$190,000 mainly due to personnel expense reduction of \$182,000. The public works area was re-org'd at the beginning of 2009 which eliminated two positions and reallocated clerical and administrative wages which both benefitted the sewer utility. Non-operating expenses is interest expenses on the debt netted against interest income on investments. Interest income on investments is down to the economy by \$35,000. At the bottom we mainly are concerned about the cash balance in our utilities to make sure we maintain a positive cash balance. The cash is down \$115,000 ending the year at \$941,000, which \$644,000 reserved in our replacement funds.

This pie chart shows our revenue for the sewer utility broken down into the different customer bases. Our biggest customer bases is residential which accounts for 58 percent, and the dollar amount is about \$2.3 million of sewer revenue from the residential area. The industrial area is our second biggest customer base and that accounts for 24 percent of the revenue or \$.9 million. This includes the industrial surcharge that we also charge mainly our industrial customers.

Our top three customers in our industrial class are Fair Oaks Farms, Hexion and Albany Chicago accounting for a half a million dollars in '08. Hexion is no longer in business and they were one of our larger customers, so about \$126,000 of revenue was lost in 2009/10 from that industry. But we're looking at another company coming in to replace them to replace the majority of that revenue in 2010.

Commercial-wise we have 17 percent of the revenue for commercial and that's \$.7 million in sewer revenue. The top four customers there are Westwood Mobile Home Park, our Hidden Oaks Apartment Complex, St. Catherine's Hospital and Timber Ridge Mobile Home Park which accounts for \$.3 million in 2008. And now for 2009 they're down to \$.2 million reducing that area. We have some vacancies in Hidden Oaks, and the others have reduced also across the board.

Then we switch to the expense area. These are the major expense categories, the biggest being treatment at 38 percent. That is \$1.6 million. We send the majority of our sewage to Kenosha Water Utility and they charge the Village for every thousand gallons of sewage as well as rain water that makes it into our sewage pipes. They charge us for every thousand gallons. There's four or five metering points in the Village that's metered on a regular basis and sampled. That expense has been up in the last couple years and we're hoping to bring it down to 2007 levels in this coming year.

Depreciation is our second major expense at \$1.3 million or 32 percent. This is recognizing the cost of the infrastructure over its useful life. This is a non-cash expense. The cash was paid out in the beginning when the infrastructure was put in the ground, and the majority of our infrastructure has been donated by developers. And the majority of the rest of the infrastructure was paid by special assessments.

The third category is personnel and that's 15 percent or \$.6 million. It's a reduction compared to the 2009 budget of \$182,000. It includes both operational labor, clerical labor and administrative labor, and this is down due to the reorganization of the public works area.

Others is 11 percent. This includes electric as being the major other expense of \$112,000 for 2010, and also includes things like contractual services, supplies, phones, office supplies, etc. And the lowest expense here is our ISF fund which is our fleet internal service fund which was just created in 2009. And that accounts for 4 percent or about \$150,000. This is for the utility to use the vehicles and equipment which are operated and maintained by the fleet internal service fund.

As for new projects or programs that we have in this utility budget we talked about last week. I'll just quickly go over what they are. The first new program is \$20,000 for manhole grouting to reduce inflow and infiltration into our water system for \$20,000 which will save us that amount of money in the future or more. That was the only new program in that area.

Then we looked at capital. There's capital in the sewer fund which we are proposing to borrow for, and there's three projects here, the biggest one being Sewer D gravity sewer and land acquisition for \$3,275,200. Then SCADA improvements for \$25,000, and then \$10,000 for sewer improvements to the Graystone property. On the other end of things we have capital that's

funded by our operating funds and there's only two projects here, tripods for a little over \$4,000 and generators at lift stations for \$45,000. That's the sewer utility budget.

Now I'll switch and present the water utility budget in the same format. This is a summary of the budget. Again, we have operating revenue. It's basically proposed to be the same as the 2009 budget at \$3.7 million. 2010 budget actually includes a little over 1 percent rate increase, which is a pass through of a rate increase that Kenosha Water Utility has passed through the Public Service Commission.

Operating expenses increased just a little less than \$100,000. The increase is mainly in purchased water which is the rate increase from Kenosha Water Utility, personnel increase of \$33,000 which is an allocation of more personnel to the water utility, and fleet internal service fund charge of \$30,000 were the three things that increased operating expenses for the water utility.

Non-operating expenses, the same as the sewer utility, is interest expense in their debt offset by interest income. Again, due to the economy and the interest rates that are presently being paid this interest expense has been reduced across all of our utilities.

The transfer here is mainly an increase of \$127,000 due to the water utility paying the general government their property tax equivalent. That went up \$127,000 mainly due to the increase in the amount of infrastructure and fixed assets that the water utility has acquired over the last year or so. The cash balance here has increased for the budget about \$265,000 which has brought this utility up to \$640,000 at the proposed year end 2010.

Here's the customer base for the water utility. It's very similar to the customer base for our sewer utility. The breakdown is residential at 35 percent which accounts for \$1.3 million. Industrial at 28 percent which is about \$1 million in water revenue from industrial customers. Our top three industrial customers is the Wisconsin Electric Plant, We Energies plant, Fair Oaks Farms, two locations, and then Olds Products. They account for half the industrial customer base, so you can see that only three customers account for half of our revenue in the industrial category.

Fire protection is in our next category which includes both private fire protection and public fire protection and accounts for about \$.8 million in our revenue for the water utility. Commercial is 12 percent, and our top four commercial customers in the water utility are St. Catherine's Hospital, Wisconsin Energy, Westwood Mobile Home Park and Timber Ridge Mobile Home Park, and they account for one-fourth or a quarter of the commercial revenue. Public authority is the smallest customer base which includes mainly governmental, church accounts and that's 1 percent of our revenue or \$54,000.

The expense side of things, our biggest expense in the water utility is purchased water. We purchase all of our water from the Kenosha Water Utility. And our budget for purchased water for 2010 is \$1.3 million and accounts for 43 percent of our budget there. Depreciation, again, is the second largest expense at 29 percent which accounts for \$.8 million in expenses in the water utility. This is, again, recognizing the cost of the infrastructure over its useful life. Again, it is a non-cash expense, and most of the infrastructure was donated by developers.

Personnel accounts for 14 percent of the water utility budget, and it's similar to the 2009 budgeted personnel expenses. None of the employees of the water utility or general government received any wage increases for 2010. Other expenses account for 11 percent, and the major expense there is, again, electric which accounts for one-third of this expense at a little over \$100,000. Plus other expenses included in this category are contractual services, minor equipment, office supplies and phone. And the smallest here, again, is our fleet internal service fund, ISF charge, which accounts for about \$78,000. Again, this is the use of the equipment and vehicles that are currently now owned by the fleet internal service fund.

The new programs in the water utility are twofold. First of all is a little over \$11,000 new program for settlement removal and assessment on three of our water tanks. We have four water tanks. This year one of them was repainted and sediment was removed so we have three other ones that we want to evaluate. And then \$10,000 for water valve bolt replacement. Those are the two projects here for the water utility.

Capital-wise we have a list of five different capital projects and they're all being funded by operating. The water utility will not borrow any more in the future. Their debt is very low and we do not plan to borrow in the water utility. The first project here is the Travis City hydrant replacement for a little over \$20,000; water meter radio upgrades for \$50,000; water meter upgrades for \$10,000; tower climbing cables for \$6,000; and \$25,000 for water leak detection device. That finishes the water utility portion of it.

On to the clean water utility. Clean water utility here's a similar summary of what the budget is being proposed for this utility. Operating revenue is proposed to be increased \$85,000. This includes an increase of 50 cents on ERUs to bring the ERU charge up to \$3.75 for 2010. This increase generates \$141,000, but due to the slowing of development it's offset by a decrease in development charges.

Operating expenses are up \$51,000. The main increase is in the fleet internal service fund charge which increased \$61,000 in this utility. Depreciation is up \$30,000 due to more infrastructure being added to the utility. And non-operating revenue is only interest on investments. There is no borrowing in this utility. Cash balance we're proposing to increase \$382,000 mainly for future infrastructure replacement and improvements that are needed in the system.

Basically the revenue part of that, I don't have a chart for that, revenue is just one line. We don't have it broken down by industrial, commercial or residential. It's basically per ERU, and so revenue is just 100 percent charges to each property based on their ERU value. But for the expense end of things the biggest charge here is the depreciation of the infrastructure in this utility which accounts for \$680,000. The second biggest expense is personnel which is very similar to the 2009 budget, not much of an increase or decrease. It's similar to 2009. The ISF is the third charge at 15 percent for \$183,000. It's up \$61,000 when compared to 2009. Other expenses account for a little less than \$100,000. Again, this includes materials, culvert replacement, contractual services and office supplies. That's the proposed clean water budget.

Now we switch to sanitation utility. The operating revenue in the sanitation utility is proposed to be up \$125,000. This is all due to a rate increase of \$1.50 to mainly cover the increased tipping

fee. A couple slides later I have a chart showing the tipping fee and the reason why we're proposing to increase the utility here. Operating expenses are up \$88,000, again, due to the tipping fee. The tipping fee on garbage has been increased by the State, and also there's a new tipping fee for recycling. In the past we never paid to have our recycling disposed of. The company actually paid us. But the company and due to the economy the company went under, and now with the new proposal we need to pay the company to take the recycling, but it's still a lot cheaper than dumping it into the dumps.

Non-operating expenses include interest on debt offset by investment income, and this decreases about \$4,000, again, due the interest rates we're receiving are a lot lower than in past years. Cash is up slightly to \$331,000 in this proposed budget. Basically the sanitation utility revenue-wise their main customer is residential so it's mainly almost 100 percent residential.

For the expense side of things the biggest charge here is the personnel which accounts for a little over half a million dollars, and it's up for the 2009 budget. And also the next biggest charge is ISF which is a little over \$386,000 up slightly from the 2009 budget. They have garbage and recycling trucks out eight hours a day working the streets, and the garbage trucks are very expensive pieces of equipment. The tipping fee is 21 percent of the budget. It's up almost \$100,000 from 2009. And depreciation is about \$92,000, and that's depreciation on our storage shed, bins and other items that are used by the sanitation utility. Other accounts for only 5 percent of the budget and similar to the other utilities is like contractual services, office supplies and that type of thing.

This next chart shows the sanitation utility tipping fees. Tipping fees have increased 64 percent over the last five years, where our tonnage has remained stable at around 8,000 tons. The recycling portion of the tonnage has increased from 21 or almost 22 percent in 2004 to over 30 percent in 2009. But the increase in the recycling portion or the tonnage has been offset by a decrease in the solid waste tonnage. You can see here how it's really climbed in the last three or four years. Our low of \$166,000 in 2007 up to \$284,000 projected for 2010. Even for 2009 to '10 this is why we're asking for the rate increase we're asking for. That's the main reason in this utility that we need to cover this tipping fee.

The final budget that we're looking at tonight is the fleet internal service fund. And the fleet internal service fund operating revenues is up \$195,000. 2009 was our first year with the fleet internal service fund. And so we've learned things as we went through the year, and we're able to charge out a little bit more than we projected which we're proposing for 2010. Operating expenses are up \$81,000 mainly due to the increase in personnel. We initially only budgeted for the mechanic in this area, and for 2010–well, during the 2009 actual year we posted operating labor highway-wise or utility that actually worked on vehicles also into this fund and got a true cost of what it's taking to maintain our vehicles. And for 2010 we are budgeting what it truly takes in labor to maintain these vehicles in this fund. So that's why personnel is up. Also, it's a little bit offset by decrease in fuel. Fuel prices are down and we budgeted very conservatively last year, and this year we're still looking at conservative estimate, but it's down from what we had initially budgeted for 2009.

Depreciation wasn't budgeted for last year, but we are budgeting for it 2010 at \$465,000. We have pulled all the equipment out of all of our other utilities and our highway department and have moved it into this fund and have calculated depreciation on this equipment. This depreciation expense will continue into the future. Non-operating expenses in 2009 was a gain on a sale, and 2010 is interest income. So we're looking at this utility to have a cash balance at the end of the year of a \$266,000 increase ending the year at \$438,000. This money in this fund will help replace equipment and buy new equipment in the future.

So who are our customers for the fleet internal service fund? This is a breakdown of our internal customers that use the equipment that is in the fleet internal service fund. Our largest customers are in the sanitation and public works area. They use the majority of the equipment the majority of the time. But we also have other customers. The next customers we have is projects which are actually like our construction crew. They use the equipment to put sewer lines in and water lines and that type of thing. And in the past we've never charged them for the equipment and now we're able to now that we're able to track it. So 14 percent of the cost went to the projects. And then our next one is our sewer utility and clean water utility at 11 and 10 percent respectively. And then also followed by parks, water utility and others which is mainly engineering.

Our total revenue that we get from these internal service customers are \$1.5 million, and that pays for the maintenance of the equipment, the fuel and eventual replacement of the equipment, and the customers or the departments that actually uses the equipment gets charged for it, and the different departments are able to share equipment between each other.

This is expenses of the fleet internal service fund and the biggest being depreciation of equipment that we have at 38 percent. And then the next biggest expense is fuel to run the vehicles. And then the maintenance which is actually the repair parts and the very little contracting out that we do. The majority of our work is done in-house on these vehicles, and the maintenance is actually purchasing the parts to maintain the vehicles. And then the personnel is the mechanic as well as other employees that work on the vehicles in-house. And others is similar to the other funds, minor contractual services, office supplies and that type of items.

The fleet internal service fund has a list of capital that they're proposing to purchase in 2010. The biggest item being the garbage trucks. This is three garbage truck bodies for \$430,000; a leaf collector for \$40,000; a couple pickup trucks for \$50,000; an ATV for \$10,000; and minor attachments and tools for a little over \$33,000. So that finalizes the fleet internal service fund.

I have a couple other slides that kind of summarize all funds across the board to kind of give you some facts about the different utilities. The first thing is principal outstanding, what kind of debt do we have outstanding on these utilities. The sewer utility has the largest debt outstanding at a little over \$7 million. As I mentioned in the budget we are proposing to borrow some more money in the sewer utility. That borrowing is not included in the \$7 million. This is without any borrowing.

The water utility is a little over \$1.2 million, and our plan for the water utility is not to borrow for them. The infrastructure is mainly in the ground and we're not looking at too much expansion in that area, major expenses. What we do get expanded will be paid for by development. Our

sanitation has a little over a half a million dollars, and this is basically the purchase of the garbage trucks when we initially started and the recycling trucks and initial sort of cost for that utility four or five years ago when we started. The clean water utility and the fleet internal service fund has no borrowing at this time and does not plan to borrow in the future.

Capital assets, how much capital or how much assets do we have. Mainly this is infrastructure in the ground. The sewer utility has the most infrastructure at a little less than \$47 million. Our water utility has a about \$44.4 million of infrastructure. This is almost all sewer mains and pipes and lift stations and water towers and that kind of thing is what this dollar value represents. All the vehicles and equipment have been pulled from this area and stuck in the fleet internal service fund.

Sanitation has a small amount of capital assets, mainly a storage shed, recycling bins and some other minor items there in sanitation. The garbage trucks and recycling trucks have been moved to the fleet internal service fund. Clean water utility has \$22 million of infrastructure in the ground. And then fleet internal service fund as almost \$3 million of equipment and vehicles. So that's the summarization of our capital assets for our five different enterprise funds.

What we mainly concentrate on is to make sure these utilities have a cash balance. Right now most of the utilities they all have positive cash balances but not big ones compared to what the capital assets slide just showed. The sewer utility has a little less than \$1 million of cash reserve right now; water utility a little over \$600,000; clean water utility \$400,000; sanitation \$300,000; and fleet internal service \$400,000. This is our estimate where we expect them to end at the end of 2010. These balances are pretty small compared to where they probably should be. They should be growing to be able to replace future infrastructure. Right now they're just maintaining and being able to pay our operating bills at the present time.

One new program that goes across all utilities is our on-line bill presentment and payment, which has been spread across all funds based on the amount of customers each of the utilities has. So this affects all the different budgets except for fleet internal service fund. The cost that we're projecting for this program for 2010 is a little less than \$2,500. And what this will do is give our utility customers access to their accounts on line. This estimate is based on actually 500 customers signing up. The Village as part of the system would pay the on-line vendor 50 cents per payment made on line per months. And the customer if they elect not to have their bill mailed would save the Village 24 cents per month. So there's a net cost of 26 cents per months depending on what the customer does. But it will give the customer on-line access to their account 24 hours per day, 365 days per year, and they actually can view their history of their account on line, pay their bills on line. This also includes customer service by the software vendor to answer questions and issue on-line for them.

What's not included is actual savings that will be for the finance staff to be able to not have to mail bills, the time it takes to mail bills, as well as the time to receive payments once they come back in. This is something I know we've been looking at wanting to do over the last couple years, and it will give us and our utility customers at a very small cost to us and a very big benefit to them.

So just to summarize, we had a couple rate increases that we're proposing. I just want to summarize what each of the utilities are proposing for rate increases. First of all the sewer utility we're looking at no increase for 2010. The water utility we're looking at a little over 1 percent which is an estimate, and we still have to submit our request and go through the calculation for the purchased water adjustments per the Wisconsin Public Service Commission. But our estimate right now is about a 1 percent increase in the rates across the board.

Clean water utility is a 50 cents per ERU proposed rate increase. On the sanitation utility \$1.50 per month increase mainly to cover the tipping fee increase that we saw on the previous charts. And the fleet internal service fund we're proposing no increase in the base rate we're charging out to each of our internal customers. So that was a summarized version of these five budgets. We're looking now at any discussion or questions you may have.

John Steinbrink:

Do you want Board questions now or the public hearing first?

Mike Pollocoff:

Why don't we have the public hearing.

Kathy Goessl:

Public hearing.

John Steinbrink:

Okay, this being the final public hearing, this will be citizens comments. Time to ask your questions. Did we have a sign up sheet?

Jane Romanowski:

Yes, we did. Paula White.

Paula White:

Hi, I'm Paula White. I live at 1808 128th Street. I guess I'm probably beating a dead horse on this, but when God pees on my roof I'm being charged money every month for this. I guess I never received anything in the mail, I never knew anything about it. I do not get a utility bill from Pleasant Prairie. I'm a farm. I do not get—I've got a well. I've got my own septic. I'm not allowed garbage in Pleasant Prairie. I have to get it from outside. I get it from Illinois. They come and pick up my garbage at my farm because I can't get garbage clean up or pick up from here because I'm a farm. So all I do is mainly get a utility bill for fire protection and police protection is what I've always gotten for. I've been here about 18 years, 19 years, and that's all I've ever gotten for. Then all of a sudden I started getting these bills that runs around \$250 every two or three months or whatever.

The last couple years I've been trying to go from office to office to office to find out what it means, what it is, why am I being charged this when I don't have utilities for Wisconsin. I don't know what it's for. I have been shoved from one office to another office to another office. I was here for the open house and I did talk with some people here at the open house. And when I had brought it up to somebody else that I know in Illinois, and I guess this girlfriend of mine just happens to be a hermit when it comes to keeping newspaper articles. Well, when I was telling her about it, she was at my house one day, she showed up at my house and I told her I was coming to the town meeting with a piece of paper from 2006 for me. I know it's from an Illinois newspaper but it's about Pleasant Prairie, water runoff fees could triple in 2007. This is from December of 2006.

I never new anything about this clean water base. I was given some paperwork about it, questions and answers, but then when I had read this it says, and I'll read the exact thing. Exempt from the runoff fees are lands noted as agriculture and are working farms. Well, I'm working farm. I've been a working farm for 19 years since I've been here. I don't understand what it is that we pay for. So when God pees on our roof and it lands on our property why do we pay for that? I know I called some of the people that was in this article and they told me I'd probably be—I asked them to come with me tonight and they said no because they've already been in trouble all the time for it already.

So I told them I was still going to come because I still wanted some questions. I want to know what I'm paying for. I'm paying out this money. I know I protest it every time it comes out. I don't pay it. I don't pay it until I get my taxes for my property every year and it's stuck on my taxes. So then that's when I pay it because when I come down to pay my taxes it's on there. I know I end up paying interest and everything else because I'm not paying it. I don't mind paying for my fire protection and my police protection because I need that, I appreciate it. But, once again, I hate to beat a dead horse, God pees on my roof and I pay for his sanitation. Is that what I'm paying for? Does anybody have anything that they can tell me what this is for, why do I get charged this? I'm paying on the average of about \$1,5000 a year, and even on the months that He doesn't pee on my roof I still have to pay it. So I don't understand. Somebody can talk to me and tell me about it?

Mike Pollocoff:

What the clean water fee is the Village along with a number of other communities in Wisconsin we were put under a mandate first by the federal government and secondly by the State of Wisconsin to clean up the storm water runoff that occurs in our communities. And that would be rainfall that runs on streets or on properties, if it takes fertilizers off lawns or it takes gravel over the shoulders of roads or whatever, it creates a suspended solid in the water. You see storm water in a storm it will get kind of turbid and look a little brown, it won't be clear. We have to bring that level down over—when is our next threshold to get to the 20 percent?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Around 2013.

Mike Pollocoff:

So what we have to do is we've been on a progression of first we have to get a plan put together how we're going to take care of it, and then we're also in the process of constructing storm water improvements to manage this in certain areas. And we'll be continuing to do this up through 2013 and beyond whether it's extending more storm sewers, whether it's modifying retention basins throughout the Village to make them hold water where they're dry basins. Because at the end of the day we need to be able to have our outflows where storm water runs across your land or someone else's land, be able to once it gets to a stream be clear.

So when we created the utility we based the charges for that utility upon the use of the land, how impervious it is, the soils on the land, and that's how we come up with what the calculation is. Now, agricultural land, row crop land by State order from the DNR is exempt. I don't know if you've done this, but we can arrange a meeting with you and John Steinbrink, Jr., is really take a look at your parcel of land. How many acres do you have?

Paula White:

I have 30.

Mike Pollocoff:

Okay, take your 30 acres, see how much is being cropped, see how much is meadow, see how much whatever it is, whatever the types of use it is. Because what we use is an aerial photograph. We can chew that up to reality and sit down with you. Row crop land by State order is exempt. But where your house is, where the buildings are, if you've got some fallow land, that isn't exempt. So we just have to take a look at what it is you have on that—

Paula White:

If there's like a pond or anything that's not exempt?

Mike Pollocoff:

A pond would be exempt.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

A pond would be exempt.

Paula White:

Because my wetland section and everything is all DNR Conservation.

Mike Pollocoff:

Wetlands are exempt, ponds are exempt so we take a-

Paula White:

But it's where all my barns are and my house and stuff is what I'm being charged for even though I have no cement ground, I have no gravel ground. I just have plain old dirt on the ground. I have drainage ditches all around my whole farm to run the water to my crops. I don't have any sewers there or anything.

Mike Pollocoff:

It doesn't have anything to do with sanitary sewer.

Paula White:

I have to ditches for anything to go to.

Mike Pollocoff:

But sooner or later water is going to come off your property. Maybe not right away.

Paula White:

It just fills up on my property.

Mike Pollocoff:

When God pees on your roof it doesn't splash back up and go to Him. It comes back down and it's going wherever it goes.

Paula White:

... ground around my place.

Mike Pollocoff:

So, anyway, that's the way we do it. If you want to give John Steinbrink a call tomorrow we can call you and set up a time and take a look at your parcel and see what's what. Then take a look at your charge. You can each month you're paying it or three months you're paying that in protest and we'll note that, but paying a penalty, because if it has to go on the tax roll it's going to be 10 percent for the County for the thrill of it.

Paula White:

I know. I protest it every year. All of a sudden it came on there and I didn't know what it was for or why I was being charged it because I can't even get garbage pickup in Pleasant Prairie, so now I'm being charged for rain on my building. So I figured I can't get garbage pickup but I can be charged for rain.

Mike Pollocoff:

You can get garbage pickup at your house. We won't pick up your farm operation garbage. But we pick up garbage at farms.

Paula White:

Well, I did in the beginning. They used to come in and pick up my garbage cans and dump it. But then they told me I had to get a dumpster or something from someplace else, so I had to go to Illinois to get a dumpster to be at my farm. I pay \$100 a month for garbage pickup for Illinois.

Mike Pollocoff:

What were you putting in there that made them-

Paula White:

Oh, my household garbage, bags . . . garbage.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

We can talk about all these issues when I meet with her. If I can go through and prepare an exhibit based on your actual property that you own and actually break it down and tell you exactly how that bill is coming to fruition as far as your clean water utility—

Paula White:

I think the front half of my property is about five acres which holds the barn and the house and everything. And then the rest of my property is all open land that I have my hay field and my cornfields and stuff.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

And as Mr. Pollocoff was stating, anything that is row crop is actually exempt, any ponds are exempt, any wetlands are exempt. But we're not able to exempt any pasture lands, so you would be paying for any pasture lands. If it's something where you actually bale it we can consider that a crop and take that into an area where you're actually baling a grass area. And so there really are a lot of restrictions or different things that we have to follow by the Department of Ag. And I can sit down and break those up with you. And then if any adjustments have to be made we can make

sure to make them at that time. I can meet with you any time. My office is over on Green Bay Road. I meet with you in the back and I'll give you my number.

Paula White:

I gave Mr. Spence my phone numbers and stuff and he said he'd give them to you so he's got them.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

We can start working on that this week without a doubt for you.

Paula White:

Okay, I thank you for listening to me.

Jane Romanowski:

There are no more sign ups.

John Steinbrink:

Anyone else wishing to speak on this item? Hearing none, I will close the public hearing and open it up to Board of Trustee comments.

Mike Serpe:

We try to do the best we can to keep our services good, our expenses down. I think with the dollar and a half increase in the solid waste, the tipping fees have gone up, but when you consider what public works and John Steinbrink, Jr.'s crew does for this Village on garbage collection, it's a deal. I don't know of a private company that would come in, do the amount of work that public works does and then pick up the leaves for the same price. It's just not going to happen.

I think the only thing that bothers me a little bit on the budget is the amount of money that we have to pay the City of Kenosha for water. That's a sore spot with me. It has been and it will continue to be unless something is done. All the City of Kenosha does is to fill our tanks with water. They make no repairs on our lines. They do not install any lines. They do nothing other than supply us with the water. Because of the amount they charge this Village we have to pass those costs onto the users. When we have corporations that are large water users looking at this Village they have to give us a second thought about not coming here because of the amount of water that they use and the amount of money that it would cost them to operate.

I guess I look at the City of Kenosha's industrial park, the Pleasant Prairie industrial park as a benefit to everybody in this area. Forget the boundary lines. If the City would just sit down with Pleasant Prairie and work out a plan whereby whatever happens in Pleasant Prairie is going to benefit the City and whatever happens in the City is going to benefit Pleasant Prairie, we work

together to improve the community and improve the area it would benefit everybody. It seems if they keep the water rates as they are they'll get the big water user customers. That's all well and good for them. I still think that if they looked at this thing in a regional way it would benefit the entire County.

I applaud public works. I applaud Kathy and Mike, administration, a well thought out budget. It certainly is going to satisfy the needs of this Village and the residents. When you turn your water spigot on you want clean, safe water. When you flush your toilet you want to see it go away and not to see it come back. That's good. When you put your garbage out it's gone, and there's very, very if any complaints that we're haring from the citizens with reference to solid waste that we once had when we had the private carriers. So I'm going to support this. Again, to all those involved job well done.

Clyde Allen:

I just want to repeat what you had said, Mike. It was a lot of hard work last year. It was obviously one of the hardest budgets I've seen since '82. This year was not any easier. So Mike, Kathy, John, all the people that did it, but I want to especially thank and we're very fortunate that all the utilities in the utilities budgets have bought into the program that we've got here same as the general fund budget has with no increases and understanding what we're going through, so I want to thank them. That's important that they're on board with us and can carry out good budgets and keep the services the way they are.

I just want to make a comment on the one slide that showed \$1.50 increase on the garbage. There are still three tiers available so the residents can go to a lesser fee and maintain a lesser fee than the higher fee that's out there. Thank you again.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I want to make one clarification in the garbage and solid waste and recycling. As Mike said previously they used to buy our recycles and now we have to pay to get rid of it. I think in Chicago there's an outfit that purchases recycling. They have seven or eight warehouses on the south side. But for us we cannot do that. . . . to start with. So the only way we can do it is to pay to get rid of the recyclables right here in the area. We have to remember that we are mandated by the State, an area wide mandate from the State that tells us do it, no money. That's another . . .no here, we don't do that. So unfortunately the only way we can keep the services going the way they are, providing the excellent service . . . we're providing is going to be to have an increase. As a senior citizen I would not like to see the increase. Do we have any choice? We don't.

Administration, Kathy, Mike, John, everybody has done an excellent job pulling this stuff together. They spent a lot of hours at the hearing two weeks ago on this unbelievable . . . budget with other communities trying to get something going on and we got it done. It was big cooperation between everybody in the Village, employees, supervision, everybody, and we have to commend them for that as Mike Serpe said and Clyde said. We're lucky to have the personnel that we've got. That's because we got professional people . . . we have professionals. We have .

. . planners all professional and a professional Administrator. We're proud of that in the Village. Right here that's an indication what we've got. So I say kudos to everyone involved, Mike, John, Kathy, excellent job. I don't like the rate increase but we have no choice, we have to do it.

Clyde Allen:

I make a motion to approve Resolution 09-47 for the sewer budget.

Mike Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike for adoption of 09-47. Do we need a roll call vote?

Jane Romanowski:

No.

John Steinbrink:

Any discussion on this item?

ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-47 - RESOLUTION RELATING TO ADOPTION OF THE 2010 SEWER UTILITY BUDGET, SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-09.

Mike Serpe:

Move approval of Resolution 09-48.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Any discussion on adoption of 09-48?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-47 – RESOLUTION RELATING TO ADOPTION OF THE 2010 WATER UTILITY BUDGET; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

Village Board Meeting December 21, 2009
Clyde Allen:
Motion to approve Resolution 09-49 for the clean water budget.
Mike Serpe:
Second.
John Steinbrink:
Motion by Clyde, second by Mike. Further discussion on 09-49?
ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-48 – RESOLUTION RELATING TO ADOPTION OF THE 2010 CLEAN WATER UTILITY BUDGET; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
Make a motion to adopt Resolution 09-50.
Clyde Allen:
Second.
John Steinbrink:
Motion by Steve, second by Clyde to adopt Resolution 09-50. Further comment or question on this item?
KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-50 – RESOLUTION RELATING TO ADOPTION OF THE 2010 SANITATION UTLITY BUDGET; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.
Mike Serpe:
Move approval of Resolution 09-51.
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
Second.
John Steinbrink:
Motion by Mike, second by Steve for adoption of Resolution 09-51, resolution relating to the adoption of the 2010 fleet internal service fund budget. Further discussion on this item?

Clyde Allen:

A quick comment. I believe in our budget hearings we had asked when we were formulating all this that the fleet internal service fund was working as planned other than a few minor tweaks so you're happy with the way it went and it's going to carry forward. Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

Further comment or question?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-51 – RESOLUTION RELATING TO ADOPTION OF THE 2010 FLEET INTERNAL SERIVCE FUND BUDGET; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

John Steinbrink:

Just to echo everybody else's comments it was very good presentations during the workshop. I think it was very thoroughly done and everybody was very able to understand it and see the reasoning why behind the budgets we have before us. Very thorough summary tonight, Kathy, so we thank you for that. That being said that concludes the public hearings for this evening.

6. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Jane Romanowski:

There were no sign ups, Mr. President.

John Steinbrink:

Anyone wishing to speak under citizens' comments?

7. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Mike Pollocoff:

I'm passing tonight. We have a full agenda.

Mike Serpe:

With that, Mr. Chairman, I would ask that we bring Item 9D forward since we only have Lance here and a couple of interested citizens. We can bring it forward since the next three items are going to be a little long. I make that motion.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I second.

Mike Pollocoff:

Okay, I also have another item I'd like to bring up, too.

Mike Pollocoff:

I'd like to bring up Item E, the Prange improvements. I'd like to bring Item E up as well.

Jane Romanowski:

So D and E.

Mike Serpe:

So moved. The motion will be corrected then for Items 9D and 9E.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Okay, second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion and a second. Motion by Mike, second by Steve.

SERPE MOVED TO CONSIDER NEW BUSINESS ITEMS D AND E; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

9. **NEW BUSINESS**

D. Consider the request of Lance Skala, agent for CenterPoint Properties Trust, for the approval of the PrairieWood Declaration of Development Standards and Protective Covenants and the First Amendment of said declarations.

Jean Werbie:

Mr. President and members of the Board, this is a request of Lance Skala from CenterPoint Properties Trust, and this is for the Village Board to consider the original PrairieWood declaration of development standards and protective covenants for the PrairieWood Development that were originally prepared in 2001. In addition, to approve an amendment to those declarations.

When they were originally prepared, there appeared to be some conflicts between those declarations and our Village ordinances. And since that time we have been working with Lance as well as with Uline and Jockey to make sure that the declarations would be corrected. And so an amendment was also prepared so that there were no conflicts. The staff recommends approval.

Our attorneys were working with them all this time in the last year to make sure that everything was in order, and they would like to record the amendment for the corrections as well. So the staff recommends approval both of the original PrairieWood declaration of development standards and protective covenants and the first amendment as presented.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Move to approve.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Clyde. Any discussion on this item.

Mike Serpe:

Unless Lance has something to add it's good.

John Steinbrink:

Hearing no discussion, those in favor?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO GRANT THE REQUEST OF LANCE SKALA, AGENT FOR CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST, FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE PRAIRIEWOOD DECLARATION OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND PROTECTIVE COVENANTS AND THE FIRST AMENDMENT OF SAID DECLARATIONS; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

E. Consider Award of Contract for the Roger Prange Municipal Building security upgrades.

Ruth Otto:

Mr. President and Board, back on November 19th sealed bids were received for project reference at the Roger Prange Municipal Building security upgrades. And at that time we opened and read those. We actually received two bids, one from Becker Electric Group and one for RCH Communications. This project included two phases, two pieces one for cameras to start setting up cameras for the perimeter of the building outside of the Prange Center, and also to put in some CAD 6 wiring in the police department area of the building. The low bidder for the project was Becker Electric at \$21,000. RCH Communications came in at \$23,562, and the staff recommends the project be awarded to Becker Electrical Group for the amount of \$21,000.

Village Board Meeting December 21, 2009
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
I make the motion to approve.
Clyde Allen:
I'll second.
Mike Serpe:
What was the budget amount again?
Ruth Otto:
We had a total budget of \$23,000.
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
And we get it for \$21,000.
John Steinbrink:
Motion by Steve, second by Clyde. Any further discussion on this item?
KUMORIEIWICZ MOVED TO AWARD A CONTRACT FOR THE ROGER PRANGE MUNICIPAL BUILDING SECURITY UPGRADES TO BECKER ELECTRIC IN THE AMOUNT OF \$21,000; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.
John Steinbrink:
The next item is item 9A.
Mike Pollocoff:
We need to go to Item 8A, triathlons.
Mike Serpe:
We have to remove that from the table.
John Steinbrink:

That's true.

Mike Serpe:

Which I move.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve to bring up Item 8A.

SERPE MOVED TO REMOVE UNFINISHED BUSINESS ITEM 8A FROM THE TABLE; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

A. Consider Award of Contracts for the 2010 Triathlons.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, Jean is pulling up the numbers, but essentially we've held triathlons since 2002 here at the Village. And the primary ones have been Danskin, the Village conducts a triathlon, and then last year we had Trek. The Prairie Springs Park and RecPlex is really getting to be known as an outstanding venue for those events. And we were confronted with two separate triathlon management firms that wanted to have the races on the same date.

We put out an RFP to select the best proposal for the Village, and what we were looking for is which respondent could provide the most revenue to the RecPlex and Prairie Springs Park in addition to all the existing user charges and fees that we have, as well as conduct a race that would be good for the residents or good for the participants, and we wanted something that was sponsored nationally. Because one of the things this helps Pleasant Prairie and the entire community is it attracts a large number of people, it's good for business to bring a lot of people here to showcase the Village and the entire area.

The first round proposals we received bids from Philadelphia Triathlon on their Danskin and Xxtra Mile for Trek. Philadelphia Triathlon offered for the three dates that we established, July 10^{th} and 11^{th} and the 21^{st} and 22^{nd} of August and September 17^{th} and 18^{th} , \$15,000, \$10,000 and \$7,500 accordingly, and Trek offered nothing.

In that intervening time we became aware of some business dealings with Triumph Apparel who held the trademark for the Danskin Triathlon. And subsequently Triumph went bankrupt, and Iconix who holds the trademarks for the Danskin and the series gave the license to operate the Danskin Women's Triathlon to Maggie Sullivan who is the same operator of Trek. So I visited with her and I also visited with the people from Philly Tri because they really did run a good triathlon for the Village and Danskin last year. They indicated they would honor their

commitment they made in the RFP but they couldn't bring a national sponsor to the table but they would do their SheROX series here.

So in my discussions with Xxtra Mile who is the company that Maggie Sullivan heads, she did offer to me all the terms and conditions that were submitted by SheROX or Philly Tri in their proposals which would be \$15,000 for the Trek Triathlon to be held on July 10th and 11th and \$10,000 on the Danskin on the 21st and 22nd. Those are fees that are over and above, like I say, all the existing fees to RecPlex and to the Village for any expenses that we incur. They're also going to allow the Village to secure a sponsor for both those races that couldn't enjoy use of the events name but they would pay the feel to the Village as well. They also agreed to cap the attendance at 3,500 and pay a penalty of I think it's \$3 a head for anybody that goes over it.

So our triathlon we're going to switch to June 26th to the 27th so we'd have three, and it would be my recommendation that I be authorized to negotiate the final contract with Xxtra mile to get all the conditions committed to a contract. And I think one of the policy issues that the Board is inclined to discuss about it now would be to have a fourth triathlon. I guess it's out there. It could make some money for the Village, but even though our expenses are paid it's a little punishing on the services to do four of these. But nonetheless they made the offer and I think we ought to consider it. My inclination is that they could run a relatively clean triathlon without a lot of stress, but I worry that we diminish the value of the venue if you're bringing women triathletes in here for three races, not to mention if they decide to come to ours. Pretty soon it's the same course and there's really nothing interesting on it after you've been on it the fourth time.

Mike Serpe:

Mike, I have to agree. I think we have to seriously give this a look about bringing any more than what we already have. Every time we have a triathlon we close down major arterials for quite a few hours. You start a fourth one it's going to inconvenience people again. We also have to consider the amount of burden that we're putting on our police department, on public works, on the RecPlex to host these. And, just as importantly, we have to consider the amount of volunteers that come to these all the time. Are we going to start wearing out our welcome with the volunteers. A couple hundred of them show up every time we have these. They're fun to work, but at the same time I think we have to be careful on how much we work these people and how many days a summer we do this. So I don't know how you want to do this, if we have just the authority just to deny a fourth or whatever, but I think for right now the three that we're looking at is more than enough in the summer.

Mike Pollocoff:

That's what I was recommending.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I agree with Mike 100 percent. I've worked every one of those with Mike. It appears to me that we . . . the amount of volunteers that we've got. Furthermore, I don't like to put an extra load on the people that are RecPlex members because it's another weekend they can't use the RecPlex. I

think we have to take that into consideration, too. So, consequently, for me three triathlons is all we really can handle right now. June 26th and 27th, June 10th and 11th, that's a very close call. It's only two weeks difference. But that's the way we have to go.

Mike Serpe:

John, I would make a motion to authorize the Village to enter into the contract with the July 10^{th} and 11^{th} and August 21^{st} and 22^{nd} .

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Further discussion on the motion?

SERPE MOVED TO AUTHORIZE THE VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR TO NEGOTIATE A FINAL CONTRACT TO CONDUCT THE TREK AND DANSKIN TRIATHLONS IN PLEASANT PRAIRIE ON JULY 10-11 AND AUGUST 21-22 BASED UPON THE PROPOSALS RECEIVED AND SUBSEQUENTLY MODIFIED; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

9. NEW BUSINESS

A. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider the adoption of the Village of Pleasant Prairie, Wisconsin 2035 Comprehensive Plan and adopt Ordinances #09-59 and #09-60 to create Chapter 390 of the Village Municipal Code entitled, "Comprehensive Plan" including an Ordinance to create Attachment 1, Appendix A in Chapter 390 for future amendments to the Comprehensive Plan.

Jean Werbie:

Mr. President, I would also ask that the next two items be brought up as well, 09-61 and 09-62 so that we have all four items that we'll be talking about at the same time.

John Steinbrink:

Okay.

B. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider Ordinance #09-61 to amend the definition of "net density, residential" as specified in Section 420-152 of the Village Zoning Ordinance.

C. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider Ordinance #09-62 to amend Section 395-26 D of the Village Land Division and Development Control Ordinance relating to approving neighborhood plans.

Jean Werbie:

As you know, the Village has been working over the last three and a half years in putting together the Comprehensive Plan for the Village. We are finally this evening at the last and final meeting to consider Ordinance #09-59 and 09-60, and these are to create the Village Municipal Code entitled Comprehensive Plan as well as the appendix to allow for any future amendments to the Comprehensive Plan. The next two items would be Ordinance 09-61 and 62, and these are followup or implementation related items to the Comprehensive Plan. One is for the new definitely of net density and the second is to make some modifications to the Land Division and Development Ordinance as it relates to neighborhood plans.

As you know, over the last several years we've been working in response to the 1999 Wisconsin Smart Growth law in putting together and Comprehensive Plan. By State Statute it needed to comply and contain nine different elements which are in this plan, nine different chapters in this plan. And the bottom line is that all zoning, subdivision, official mapping and many of the other documents prepared by the Village from the capital improvements plan to our water supply plan all need to be in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.

Chapter 1 is a chapter that covered the introduction and background. It included all of the planning goals, discusses our partnership, it outlined the public participation activities, and everything in that chapter and then the documents in the appendix all refer back to the all the efforts that we've undertaken over the last three and a half years.

Chapter 2 is the issues and opportunities element. It provides an overview of the demographic trends and the background information, and it gives you everything you need to no about the basis for Pleasant Prairie and planning into our future to 2035. The plan identifies a vision for the Village that we've discussed at the Plan Commission and the Board levels. We've been identified in that our motto or what we're trying to promote is a great place to live, work and play. We promote a high quality of life for our community, and we want to provide quality and affordable opportunities throughout all of our various land use elements, community services, activities, and we want to create a well balanced and community both now and into the future.

The chapter talks about the history of Pleasant Prairie. It has a lot of nice photos, but it talks about demographic history including population and employment and a number of other historical trends within the Village of Pleasant Prairie. Specifically it gets into some of the planning this community has done over the years. It talks about the original Comprehensive Plan that was done in 1967, the update generation two plan that was done in 1996 that brought us to a projection year of 2010, and then some of the other interim plans that we did including the Chiwaukee Prairie Land Use Management Plan. We've done some park and open space plans and a number of other documents over the years. And all these are referenced and the information is included within the document.

As I just mentioned, population trends and projections is very critical when planning into the future. This provides us our historical population between 1850 and the year 2000. It also provides our population projections to the year 2035. As you can see in the charts and in the graph, our population is expected to nearly double from just over 16,000 to over 31,000 by the year 2035. This will represent a 9.9 percent growth every five years or a little less than 2 percent per year in growth. Also, household trends and projections are also needed to be looked at through the year 2035. As you can see, average household size is intended to go down just slightly in Pleasant Prairie to about 2.52 persons per household. The number of households will be just under 12,000 within the Village. Again, this is based on a 2.2 percent growth rate to accommodate for our increase in population.

Employment trends and projections are very important especially for evaluating what we're going to do, where we're going to grow, what type of industry or new development, business development, that we're projecting to have. Actual employment information starts at 1970 in this graph and then takes us to 2035. And I believe that we envision that we were going to have just over 20,000 employees in Pleasant Prairie.

Chapter 3 is the housing element and covers all sorts of information. Again, at the workshop meetings we talked about this in detail with respect to all the inventory information and the housing stock information that assessing had put together for us and information we had gathered from the census. And, again, housing demand inventory data is very important for us to take a look at in order to project what our housing needs were going to be in the future. Our housing goal is to provide a housing supply that's diverse. It includes homes for new residents without prejudice, homes for long-time residents where housing requirements might have changed because of age, household growth, decline in income or disability.

Our next chapter is Chapter 4, transportation element, and this includes detailed inventories and maps and photos of our existing transportation system. And this covers everything from public transit to systems management, travel demand, bike and pedestrian facilities, arterial streets and other systems that service us even though they're not located in Pleasant Prairie such as railways, harbors and airport services. Our transportation goal is to provide an accessible interconnected network of highways, streets, bicycle and pedestrian trails within the Village in a safe, affordable and efficient system that meets multiple users. We want to make sure that our system is functioning well and we minimize any impacts on the transportation system.

Our Chapter 5 covers utilities and community facilities element. There are significant inventories in this chapter that cover everything from our utility districts, sewer, water, storm water management facilities, electric power and gas, telecommunications, street lighting, solid waste and emergency management. Chapter 5 specifically also gets into all of the various government services that we provide. Again, we went through this in detail at some of our working sessions meetings but, again, inventory information as well as detailed information from each of the departments.

The goal for utilities and facilities is basically to provide efficient and cost effective services and to improve the quality of life of the Village. We intend that the supply and the coordination and

the location of public facilities would be consistent with our projected growth, our resident expectations and our development patterns as we've proposed them into the future.

Chapter 6 is the agricultural, natural and cultural resources element. This has a lot of detailed inventory on everything from soil types, farmland and operations, topography, geology, nonmetallic mining, water resources, woodland resources, environmental corridors, park and open space sites, climate, air quality, cultural resources. This is particularly significant and I'll get into that in a minute when we talk about our existing land use base and our projected land uses into the future.

Our goal here is that we want to protect the natural, historical, archeological and cultural resources in the Village that contribute to our Village's history and quality of life. We're trying to promote a healthy environment. We are looking to provide a comprehensive system of park and open spaces to enhance the quality of the environment and the quality of our life and to allow the residents adequate opportunities to participate in our resources.

Chapter 7 is the economic development element. There's some detailed inventory and analysis of our labor force and the economic base. A lot of this information was based on year 2000 data. Some of the information was updated with KABA and with information that we've put together. But, again, we hope that this information is helpful and dynamic for those that want to use it as a resource. Again, once the census is done in 2010 we'll have even better adequate information to add to this. Chapter 7, the element covers data and information technology, advanced technology, bio-fuel technology, recreation, hospitality and tourism industries, professional and technical services, business management and healthcare related services.

Our goal, and it has always been our goal in this Village is to diversify our tax base to relieve residential property tax burdens and to provide more local shopping and employment opportunities. While we do that we want to establish a memorable community image that builds on our natural amenities, and we want to create an overall climate that is supportive for economic development through public outreach, business development programming and protecting and improving the quality of life by balancing sound fiscal and environmental management.

Chapter 8 is the intergovernmental cooperation element, and this talks about all the relationships and the cooperation activities that we've shared with our adjacent communities. It describes existing agreements, existing or potential shared services and agreements and existing or potential land use conflicts and how we would resolve those conflicts. Now, this goal for the Village is very specific to our community to support further evaluation of consolidating and coordinating services only where the consolidation makes economic sense while not reducing the level or quality of services currently provided to the residents of this Village. The Village shall have the ability to decide what level of services they are willing to fund and who is best suited to provide those services to the Village.

So our new ordinance and new plan is very specific to Pleasant Prairie, and it's important for any other communities that are looking at our plan that consolidation needs to be cost effective, and our level and quality of services cannot be reduced, and it can't negatively impact the Village or our character if we decide to enter into any of those agreements.

Chapter 9 is the land use element chapter. It includes the analysis of the historical and existing land use, provides land supply and demand information and identifies the 2035 land use plan map and potential conflicts that may exist. Historical urban growth is seen on the slide from 1830 to 2000. The most rapidly growing time period initially was in that 1950 to 1963, and then most recently with respect to at least the commercial and industrial areas 1995 to 2000 identified in red.

The map that is directly across the room from me, the first one to the right and as shown on the slide, is the 2009 existing land use plan map. What we did was we took the inventory as prepared by SEWRPC in 2000 and then we updated it based on an actual inventory that we completed in looking at actual uses, aerial photographs and site visits throughout the Village. And one of the things that we did was in our Comprehensive Plan we broke things down a lot more detailed than SEWRPC has done for Kenosha County. We have several classifications or breakdowns for residential development. We have a number of classifications as it pertains to park and open space as well as agricultural lands and such. A couple other new things that we've added is right of way that exists, platted right of way that's not construction and then land under development, so we've added some overlays.

As of June 1, 2009, urban land uses in the Village comprised under the residential classification 28.9 percent. A lot of times people think that we've got a great deal of land that's been–excuse me, 44.2 percent, the largest percentage is residential. The next largest is transportation, utilities and communications. That's in the purple category at 28.9 percent, and then industrial at 15 percent and then the three other lesser categories are recreational, government and institutional and commercial. Non urban existing uses, as you can see, open lands comprises 34 percent. We've got agricultural at 31 percent, wetlands, woodlands and surface water.

The current 2010 land use plan that we've been using since 1996 is shown on the board over there. It's a plan that we have taken to—we've been using it as a basis for the preparation of the 2035 plan, but we've actually examined a number of things with respect to additional land use categories which I will explain in just a minute. We've re-established our neighborhood and special planning districts within the Village. We've added two new special purpose districts. One is the Village Green Center and the other is the Chiwaukee Transit Center. The special purpose planning district that's identified in the yellow color is the industrial/corporate office development within the Village.

Existing and proposed community facilities are shown on this slide. It identifies existing and future fire stations, police stations, Village administration, where various high school, middle school, elementary schools will be located, and we worked very closely with unified to identify these locations.

The Smart Growth and redevelopment areas that were required to be identified, we've got the PDD 1 area which is north of Q, west of the Interstate, the Village Green Center, Chiwaukee Transit Center, the Prairie Ridge Development area, the LakeView Corporate Park, Main Street Market which is at 31 and 165, and then we've got some Village CDA development lands.

The 2035 land use plan map also shown on the board then is a very detailed Comprehensive Plan. It breaks down our land uses not only by category but by parcel which is very unique to Pleasant Prairie. As you can see, our categories for residential are still broken up into the four main categories. We now have mixed use lands which are in the fuchsia colors for the Village Green and the Chiwaukee Transit Center. Our commercial lands are broken down into many significant districts, neighborhood retail, community retail, freeway oriented retail, freeway office, freeway oriented regional retail, and now we've got the new designations for the Abbott properties north of Highway Q and those are the BA-1, -2 and -3 properties.

We have many more classifications now for transportation, communications and utilities which not only include the power plant but includes active landfills, railroads, weigh stations and high tension wires. Government and institutional is now broken down in more detail as well and includes existing and future institutional land areas. Industrial lands has a greater breakdown, park and rec has a greater breakdown, and we've also identified specifically wetlands in the environmental classification, whether or not they were staked wetlands or not staked wetlands. So we've gone into significantly more detail with the comprehensive plan effort this time around.

What's important to note for this community is that the 2035 land uses are not intended to be all developed for urban purposes. As you can see the orange area on the slide, 34.2 percent of Pleasant Prairie by 2035 is intended to be in park, recreational, environmentally significant areas and other open space. So more than a third of our community will always remain in the open space classification. The next largest classification will be residential at 30 percent. The next is the transportation, utilities and communications at 17.5 percent. Again, that's largely because of the large power plant and landfill site located in the Village. Commercial and mixed use is almost at 6 percent, industrial at almost 10 percent, and government and institutional at about 3 percent.

Our land use goal is the compilation of everything that we've done up to this point. Each element plays an important role in assuring a balanced community, and each element directly influences the desires of this plan. The map reflects current community values. It establishes the Village as a vibrant community, a destination and a regional focal point while providing efficient and effective government services. The Village intends to promote economic growth and the development of the community in a way that allows for change while preserving the overall beauty and character and making this a great place to live, work and play.

Finally, our Chapter 10 is the implementation chapter. This chapter discusses our plan review and adoption, and again we're down to the adoption part before the Village Board, any amendment procedures and the consistency of this plan along with any other element of the planning process or utility planning process of the Village, official mapping, land use development process. It's important to note that the statute does specifically identify which elements of the Village's operations must comply with the Comprehensive Plan and others that they recommend to comply with the Comprehensive Plan.

The next part of the public hearing before the Plan Commission and the ordinances for this evening is to amend the definition of net density, and that is to read that the total number of units or lots divided by lands within the neighborhood excluding certain other lands, and the only

difference that we have here from before is that primary environmental corridors are now being excluded. You can't count that at part of your density.

The second is things that we need to do but we're not doing yet. We're going to be doing these in the next 90 days. One is to amend the existing manufacturing district regulations to comply with what we've just set forth in the Comprehensive Plan. And the next is to rewrite and to amend the agricultural district regulations.

And, finally, as part of this comprehensive planning effort we need to make sure that the zoning map is also consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. And the map that's behind me and on the screen these are all the areas where we need to make some modifications, most of which are to remove all those UHO overlays that had been placed a number of years ago. Some are to just make some modifications in the manufacturing so that it's consistent going into a general manufacturing district. We have a couple of other modifications with respect to environmental status. We don't feel that any of these will be controversial or questioned to any great extent because we're basically bringing these properties into the classification of the land use that currently exists.

Again, one of the other amendments that I discussed is the consistency of the plan with the Land Division and Development Control Ordinance. And this has to do with neighborhood plans including special district plans. There needs to be a specific procedure for adopting or amending the neighborhood plan as described in the ordinance, and we're following through and putting that ordinance before you this evening.

Our implementation goal then is while planning provides many important public benefits, it's important to recognize that we're adopting this Comprehensive Plan not as an end result but as a means to provide direction for our action. We're not intending that this plan will sit on a shelf. We want to be able to use it and guide our growth and development decisions over the next 25 years. The plan recommendations will be fulfilled over time in generally small incremental steps. We don't intend to build out this Village in the next five years. It will take some time.

This Comprehensive Plan provides a foundation and a guide for many implementing tools which include the zoning ordinance, subdivision ordinance, capital improvements programming, detailed facilities planning and other ordinances, programs and policies of the Village. Again, our priorities for implementation, and some of this is directed by the statutes, will be that we examine our plan every five years, and a report will be prepared beginning in 2015 that includes the following: A list of amendments made to the plan over the last five years, a list of major changes to the Village ordinances made to implement the plan, a list of recommendations for changes to any plan goals, objectives and any other types of policies that may change, and a prioritized list of recommendations to be achieved in the next five year increment.

Every ten years it's recommended that the plan be reviewed and updated. This is largely due to the fact that we get new census data approximately every ten years. The Comprehensive Plan will include 2010 and 2020 census data and then provide projections to the year 2045.

The staff and the Plan Commission and I think everybody that's worked on this plan recommends the adoption of the Village of Pleasant Prairie, Wisconsin 2035 Comprehensive Plan, and that would be through ordinance 09-59. And then 09-60 would be to create the chapter of the municipal code and to create that attachment chapter or Appendix A for any amendments to the Comprehensive Plan. And then following that we recommend approval of Ordinance #09-61, the definition of net density, and 09-62 and that has to do with the land division and the development control modifications as it pertains to the neighborhood plan.

Mike Pollocoff:

The item for the Comprehensive Plan itself requires a super majority. it should be four of the people here in order to adopt it.

Jane Romanowski:

I'll do a roll call vote on it.

Clyde Allen:

Jean, the document you and your staff has prepared is nothing short of first class and absolutely amazing. You and your staff are to be commended for this. It's quite remarkable. I've seen a lot of hard work projects come through the County and the State and nothing compares with this. So thank you.

John Steinbrink:

Jean, when did we start this?

Jean Werbie:

Well, the first grant for this was applied for back in 2005, and then the grant was awarded in June of 2006. So we've been working on it for about three and a half years.

Mike Serpe:

The amount of work that Jean's division put into this document really makes the future planners for this Village, their job a lot easier. You guys sacrificed everything to put this in place, and now anybody taking your place in the future they've got this bible that's pretty much there. At first when Smart Growth came out I questioned this, boy, I don't like somebody telling us how to develop our Village. But now that we got into this thing and we see the benefits that we're going to be receiving from its implementation it's good. It's very good because you know exactly where you're going to be going now. The process to change it is somewhat complicated but not impossible. But it's excellent. And I agree with Clyde, you and your staff, Jean, deserve all the credit in the world for putting this together.

Jean Werbie:

Thank you.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I'd like to make a comment. This is outstanding what you're doing. The only thing that bothers me is we're going to have other communities that they're going to come and borrow what we've got to use it for an example when you guys do all the work here. That's the part I got, because you guys worked your tails off for this and an excellent job. There's no word to commend these. But then somebody comes and . . . or another community comes and take it and all the work is done here. That happened many times in the past. We all know that.

John Steinbrink:

It's not only a look into the future, it's a continuation of what you've done the whole time you've been here. That's pretty impressive.

Mike Serpe:

Very.

John Steinbrink:

And I think when you look at what's happened in other communities, especially in the County even without guidance like this you see the importance of it because we can't afford those kind of mistakes. And even we've had to pay the price for those mistakes in our bordering community. It's counterproductive and it costs the taxpayers money and it impedes on the future.

Jean Werbie:

Mr. President, I think that the County is intending to adopt the draft multijurisdictional system plan tomorrow at our meeting, but I'm there to present that we have adopted our plan as a separate document. So we can endorse the County plan but it's not our plan. I'll be bringing our plan to them tomorrow.

Mike Pollocoff:

What's going to be the gap between or the differences between our plan and the plan they make for us in the County plan?

Jean Werbie:

With respect to the land use plan map and the population and the employment projections, they have all of our GIS documents. They have everything that we have. So everything that we have prepared to date that's in our plan they are bringing it right into their plan.

•			\mathbf{r}	111			C	•
Λ	/11	ke	ν	NΙ	\sim	α	\ ††	٠.
11		\mathbf{r}		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,,	u	,,,,	

What about the implementation and the-

Jean Werbie:

The narrative?

Mike Pollocoff:

Well, yeah, I mean the things like consolidation of services and all those issues?

Jean Werbie:

Everything that we have, what I have or that Peggy has brought to them with respect to our recommended changes for narrative and text up to this point has been incorporated as we've been moving through each of the chapters over the last three and a half years. Our last meeting tomorrow will be to cover the implementation chapter and part of the land use chapter. So I still have had the opportunity to interject any comments about Pleasant Prairie and they have made those comments and changes as it related to Pleasant Prairie. So those have been incorporated into the County's plan.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

The comments that you made?

Jean Werbie:

That we discussed at our working sessions and at the Village Plan Commission and the Board meetings.

Mike Serpe:

John, I would move approval of Ordinance 09-59 and 09-60.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

Jane Romanowski:

No, they've got to be separate. They have to be separate because I have to do roll call votes on both of those separately.

Village Board Meeting December 21, 2009 Mike Serpe: Okay, then I'll move approval of 09-59. Steve Kumorkiewicz: Second. John Steinbrink: Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Further discussion? Hearing none, roll call vote? \ SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #09-59 - ORDINANCE TO CREATE CHAPTER 290 OF THE VILLAGE MUNICIPAL CODE ENTITLED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; ROLL CALL VOTE - STEINBRINK - YES; KUMORKIEWICZ - YES; ALLEN - YES; SERPE - YES; MOTION CARRIED 4-0. Mike Serpe: Aye. And I would move approval of 09-60. Steve Kumorkiewicz: Second. John Steinbrink: Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Further discussion on this item? Hearing none, roll call vote. SERPE MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN **COMMISSION** RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #09-60 - ORDINANCE TO CREATE ATTACHMENT 1, APPENDIX A INI CHAPTER 390 OF THE VILLAGE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATED TO AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; ROLL CALL VOTE - STEINBRINK - YES; KUMORKIEWICZ - YES; ALLEN - YES; SERPE - YES; MOTION CARRIED 4-0. Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

Clyde Allen:

Motion to approve 09-61.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Clyde, Ordinance 09-61. Further comment or question on this item? Hearing none, roll call vote?

Jane Romanowski:

Not on these. These are just normal. We did the roll call on the first two and that's all we need.

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #09-61 TO AMEND SECTION 420-152 OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE RELATED TO DEFINITIONS; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

Clyde Allen:

Motion to approve Ordinance 09-62.

Mike Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike for adoption of Ordinance 09-62. Further discussion on this item?

ALLEN MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #09-62 – ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 395-26 D OF THE VILLAGE LAND DIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT CONTROL ORDINANCE RELATED TO APPROVING NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS IN THE VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

Jean Werbie:

I just wanted to mention, Mr. President, that at this point now the Comprehensive Plan is an ordinance of the Village, so any modification or amendment to this plan or a neighborhood plan or any of these elements have to come directly to the Village Board for official action. They don't go to the Plan Commission except for any type of recommendation. But now all ordinances and amendments will need to come to the Village Board due to the change in the statutes.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you, Jean. A request has been made for a five minute break.

(BREAK)

John S	Stein	brin	k:
--------	-------	------	----

That brings us to Item F.

F. Consider Ordinance #09-63 to amend Chapter 370 of the Municipal Code relating to building permit fees.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, I'd request that Ordinance 09-63 be adopted. These reflect permanent fees that were adopted as part of the fiscal year 2010 budget.

Clyde Allen:

So moved.

Mike Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike. Any discussion on this item?

ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #09-63 TO AMEND CHAPTER 370 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO BUILDING PERMIT FEES; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

G. Consider Resolution #09-53 approving Clean Water Utility fees.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, Resolution 09-53, this reflects the ERU changes that were discussed as part of the utility budgets. I'd recommend it be adopted as presented.

Mike Serpe:

Motion to adopt Resolution 09-53.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Clyde. Further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-53 APPROVING CLEAN WATER UTILITY FEES; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

H. Consider Ordinance #09-64 to amend Chapter 292 of the Municipal Code relating to solid waste and recycling fees.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, I'd recommend the Village Board consider adopting Ordinance 09-64 for solid waste and recycling collection fee changes. These are reflected changes that were presented in the sanitation budget earlier this evening.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So moved.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Clyde. Any discussion on this item?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #09-64 TO AMEND CHAPTER 292 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO SOLID WASTE AND RECYCLING FEES; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

I. Consider Award of Contract to purchase garbage collection equipment for three chassis's.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, sealed bids were received by the Department of Public Works. The vehicles are for the solid waste division. The Village has already approved the purchase of three Mack LEu 2009 chassis from McNeilus on December 7th. We still need to purchase the garbage collection body to install on the chassis.

Prices were quote for the body equipment. The costs are listed on the table before. The recommendation from the Department of Public Works is that the low submitted by McNeilus in the amount of \$179,029 be accepted. That's the cost after trade. The purchase of three garbage

bodies in total is \$297,029 from the fleet internal service fund. John, so you have anything to add to that?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

No, except that the vehicles are very similar to the vehicles that we are operating now. They do have the automatic arms. It's a stand up right hand drive chassis which the operators will be using. The three vehicles that we are replacing do have 10,000 operating hours on them and have definitely exceeded their useful life for the Village.

Mike Serpe:

I would move approval of the contract.

Clyde Allen:

I'll second with a question.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Clyde. Clyde?

Clyde Allen:

If I remember right these are coming out of 2009 dollars, or two of them are coming out of 2009 dollars and the rest of 2010?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

The amount of \$230,000 is coming out of the 2009 ISF fund and then the balance will be coming out of the 2010 funds.

Clyde Allen:

Okay, and Kathy is gone, but that's been worked out with her that the accounting will be carried over properly so when we take these in that they will be charged to the right year.

Mike Pollocoff:

Right.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

That is correct. I've worked it all out with the Finance Director.

Clyde Allen:

Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO AWARD OF CONTRACT TO PURCHASE GARBAGE COLLECTION EQUIPMENT FOR THREE CHASSIS'S TO MCNEILUS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$297,029 AS PRESENTED; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

J. Consider an Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement with the Town of Somers regarding the storage of road salt mix at the Roger Prange Municipal Center.

Mike Pollocoff:

This is an arrangement that John worked out. John, you want to cover this or you want me to?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

No, I can cover it. The Village of Pleasant Prairie public works is proposing to enter into an agreement with the Town of Somers for salt storage for the time frame of two years. The volume of salt to be stored is 700 tons in our Village salt sheds at 8600 Green Bay Road. And the price that we're looking at for the contract for them to store it includes the labor, vehicle costs and administrative charges for storing the 700 tons and also for loading the 700 tons during the duration of the snow season. So staff recommends entering into this agreement with the Town of Somers. I can answer any questions that you may have.

Mike Serpe:

Just a question. John, how do you keep track of how much they keep?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

We have a scale that we mounted on one of our John Deere loaders, and so it tells us to the pound how many pounds of salt we're loading into the Somers' vehicles. And so it will tell us right down to the pound to make sure that at the end of the day they will only take 700 tons out of the salt shed.

Mike Serpe:

How many trucks does Somers have?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

They have three vehicles. They have two tandem axles and one single axle. And they don't have as an aggressive snowplow policy as the Village does. And so they normally come in during working hours, load up all three trucks, and that will carry them through the duration of most snowfalls.

Mike Serpe:

And prior to entering into this agreement where were they getting it from before, the County?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

They were storing it at the County Center over on 45 and Highway 50.

Mike Serpe:

Okay.

Clyde Allen:

John, I'm assuming there's no chance that we will use all the capacity in the storage shed that this will hinder us? There's no chance?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

We've gone through the calculations assuming worst case scenario which are the average snowfalls for the last two seasons. And with all those calculations in place we do have the capability to store the 700 tons for the Town of Somers over a two year period.

Clyde Allen:

Okay, thank you.

Mike Pollocoff:

We'll look at this as time goes on and if we're going to need more salt then we'd have to respond then. But we've taken off the frontage roads off our maintenance list so that's going to reduce quite a bit of salt right there.

Clyde Allen:

Building that shed was definitely a fruitful idea.

(Inaudible)

Village Board Meeting December 21, 2009 Mike Pollocoff: The salt shed? The salt shed I think was \$289,000. John Steinbrink, Jr.: That's correct and it holds 7,200 tons of salt. And in an average snow year the Village will use about 3,000 tons of salt. Mike Pollocoff: Somers ought to consider. Mike Serpe: Is there a salt shed on 158 west of the I? Mike Pollocoff: Yes. Mike Serpe: Is that strictly for Interstate use? Mike Pollocoff: Yeah. Mike Serpe: It can't be touched by anybody? Mike Pollocoff: I think Somers was keeping some salt there but not a lot. The problem is it's not that big a shed. I think the County is using that for the Interstate. Mike Serpe: I'd move approval of the agreement with the Town of Somers. Steve Kumorkiewicz: Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Any other discussion on this item?

SERPE MOVED TO APPROVE AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT WITH THE TOWN OF SOMERS REGARDING THE STORAGE OF ROAD SALT MIX AT THE ROGER PRANGE MUNICIPAL CENTER; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

K. Consider Resolution #09-55 to dispose of surplus vehicles.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

The Village of Pleasant Prairie fleet internal service has three vehicles that have exceeded their useful life to the Village of Pleasant Prairie. The first one up on the Board I believe that's a 1996 Ford F250 pickup truck. And even though it only has 88,000 miles, it's been 88,000 very hard miles. We used it over the last five years with a heavy V-box salter in the back end of it before we purchased our one tons. The suspension is starting to rot. The frame is in very bad shape. It's really just not a safe vehicle to use. And so we've gone through some reshuffling on a lot of our vehicles within our public works department. We're able to dispose of this vehicle without replacing another one. The second one that we have is the—

Mike Pollocoff:

I love these old pickup shots.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yeah, the second one is the smaller truck. I believe it's a Ford Ranger vehicle that's currently used by engineering. We have eliminated the utility superintendent position and we are taking his vehicle that we have and passing that over to the Village engineering staff to use for inspections. And then the final vehicle that we have is a box truck that was used by our construction crew. That is a 1997 vehicle. That is a one ton chassis on it and we probably put about 3,000 pounds of tools and everything else in the back of it. So we have replaced it with a larger chassis, and we're looking to dispose of this 1997 box vehicle also.

Mike Serpe:

It would be pretty hard for somebody to accuse us of not getting our money's worth out of these things.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

And we do go through and we strip off any good parts before we sell them, any doors or hoods or tailgates or bumpers that we can use on other vehicles as replacement. The vehicles end up going to Jantz at the end of the day because they're really not worth anything at auction.

Mike Serpe:

Move approval of Resolution 09-55.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Clyde. Further discussion on this item?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-55 TO DISPOSE OF SURPLUS VEHICLES AS PRESENTED; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

L. Consider receipt of donation of 85 acres of land from C-94 Partnership LLP located along the Des Plaines River south of CTH C and east of I-94.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, we received an offer of a donation of land that's depicted on the map up on the overhead from the C-94 partners. With the realignment of the frontage road and separating those ramps it created a buildable parcel for the developer shown on that map as Parcel 2. They've also indicated as part of that they'd like to transfer the remaining property that is undevelopable to the Village of Pleasant Prairie. They've had an appraisal done on this property. It appraised out at \$530,000, amazing price for wetland, but that's their appraiser.

The picture this is River Road. If you're at River Road looking to the west that's one access point to get to it. And then the next one is—then we have River Road to the northwest. Looking across you can see that sign. There are two signs on the parcel. The current owners have put the sign companies on notice that those signs are to be taken down, so those signs won't be part of the property. They can slide this year. This is the view from the frontage road looking south. You can see the water tower there in the far background. Here's another from the frontage road looking northeast at that other sign that you could see before. That sign will be gone.

This map here kind of gives you that big picture of what's going on. Jean worked on that parcel in the north on Highway 50 from the Mitroff properties and got that acquired. And then, of course, we've been expanding Prairie Springs Park on the south. Then we have this donation at I-94. Ultimately we'd like to be able to secure the acquisition of the Shaffer farm which is primarily floodplain and wetlands and we would almost have a complete connection from 165 all the way up to Highway 50 of a floodway corridor. There's some uplands in that area that gives us some opportunity to, one, protect that land, but secondly have some public uses that could be accommodated in there.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Other comment or question?

The Kenosha-Racine Land Trust has also indicated a desire to have us give them the property. But I think until we come up with a management plan that the Village is happy with that goes through the hearing process, I think right now it's in our interest to hang on to these. As such, I'd recommend that—our attorney has reviewed the documents and I'd recommend that the Village Board accept the proposal from C-94 partners and accept the transfer of the land.

So moved.
Clyde Allen:
Second.
John Steinbrink:
Motion by Steve, second by Clyde. Further discussion?
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
Mike the land all the way to
Mike Pollocoff:
Is your mic on?
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
I'm sorry property of the park
Mike Pollocoff:
I think we'd like to connect that eventually, especially that land south of C to Prairie Springs so that we'd have one big park there. But it would be kind of tough to get to the Mitroff property because that is developed land there.
John Steinbrink:

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO ACCEPT THE OF DONATION OF 85 ACRES OF LAND FROM C-94 PARTNERSHIP LLP LOCATED ALONG THE DES PLAINES RIVER SOUTH OF CTH C AND EAST OF I-94; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

M. Consider Resolution #09-54 relating to an Agreement with Epic Life Insurance to offer a Short-Term Disability Plan to Employees.

Carol Willke:

Mr. President, as the Board is aware we have as of January 1st we'll be changing our sick leave policy for all Village employees. And part of the result of that policy change is that some of our employees will be losing the safety net of the current short-term disability plan that we have. So what we'd like to do is offer a voluntary plan to the employees that they would pay for themselves through payroll deductions through the Epic Life Insurance Company who is our current long-term disability carrier so they're very familiar with the Village. The premiums will be computed on age and salary base. This is something we'd like to put in effect as of January 1st.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I make a motion to adopt Resolution 09-54.

Mike Serpe:

I'll second that. Are we getting requests from the employees for this?

Carol Willke:

We are. And when we wrote out the sick leave plan we told them we would be offering this.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Mike for adoption of Resolution 09-54. Further discussion on this item?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-54 RELATING TO AN AGREEMENT WITH EPIC LIFE INSURANCE TO OFFER A SHORT-TERM DISABILITY PLAN TO EMPLOYEES; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

N. Consider an Award of Contracts for bulkheads, metal railings and signage for the LakeView RecPlex 50-meter pool addition.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, we have a recommendation to award a contract to Precision Fibre from Canada, Vancouver, Canada, for the installation of bulkheads at Lakeview RecPlex. We'd be purchasing two for the amount of \$166,300. This represents a savings of almost 50 percent from the original ones that were quoted to the Village. The second item is for metal railings in the facility and that's \$66,800, and signage which would be—if you think about the front f RecPlex those three big panels, the green panels that are in there, those have to be redone so we're going to look at getting

those done as well as the regular signage that's going to be in the facility for the doors, the

offices, what have you, \$14,360 to Michael's Signs. So I'd recommend that these contracts be awarded by the Village.
Clyde Allen:
So moved.
Mike Serpe:
Second.
John Steinbrink:
Motion by Clyde, second by Mike. Any further discussion on this item?
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
Yes, how much was the original spending on this roughly? Do you have any idea?
Mike Pollocoff:
On these items here?
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
This item here.
Mike Pollocoff:
Like I said the bulkheads were \$330,000. And the metal railings were I believe \$70,000 and some. And the signage to be honest with you I'm not sure.
John Steinbrink:
And these are not taxpayer dollars?
Mike Pollocoff:
No, these are coming from the recreation enterprise.
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
That's great. Very good.

John Steinbrink:

We had a motion and a second. Any further discussion?

ALLEN MOVED TO AWARD CONTRACTS FOR THE 50 METER POOL ADDITION TO PRECISION FIBRE STRUCTURES, INIC. FOR THE BULKHEADS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$166,300; DUWE METALS FOR THE METAL RAILINGS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$66,800 AND MICHAEL'S SIGNS FOR SIGNAGE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$14,360; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4—0.

O. Consider disallowance of claims filed Robert Larson for June 1, 2009 incident involving the Police Department.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, I recommend this claim be disallowed.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So moved.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Clyde.

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO APPROVE A DISALLOWANCE OF THE CLAIMS FILED ROBERT LARSON FOR JUNE 1, 2009 INCIDENT INVOLVING THE POLICE DEPARTMENT; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

- P. Consent Agenda
 - 1) Approve Appointment of Election Inspectors for 2010-2011.
 - 2) Approve Letter of Credit Reduction for Hwy. 50 Shoppes at Prairie Ridge and Target Store.
 - 3) Approve bartender license application on file.

SERPE MOVED TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1-3; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

Q. Review 2010 new meeting dates and times for board and commission meetings.

Clyde Allen:

Motion to approve.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Steve. Further discussion?

(The meeting dates and times were previously approved by the Village Board. The item on the agenda was a summary of the approved dates and times beginning in 2010).

10. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

Clyde Allen:

Thank you, Mr. President. Last week I was approached by somebody at church that asked me to please convey some messages on. That was the Wazelle family had the unfortunate opportunity to dial 9-1-1 and they wanted to pass on everything turned out fine, pass on how the responding officer and the paramedics responded in such a professional manner and how grateful they were and pass the compliments on to Chief Guilbert and Chief Wagner. And their parting comment, their exact words were that's why it's such a pleasure to live in Pleasant Prairie because everything gets taken care of so well. So that was very well so I thank them from the Wazelle family.

I received a lot of really nice comments recently about the lights on 85th Street. They really look nice and people are really talking about that and have made comments to thanks. It would be a nice thing to be able to keep out throughout subdivisions.

And, last, we received a letter from Chief Wagner to Officer Laura Hoffman. Because of her astuteness, through her own initiative, being able to do some terrific investigative work led to the conclusions of some cases and I appreciate that and I just want that noted that thank you to Officer Hoffman for her fine efforts.

Mike Serpe:

We had a good year. It was a pleasure working with all Board members, all staff, faculty. I think we've accomplished a great deal in 2009, and I think we'll do even better in 2010 even with the economy as it is. With that I wish everybody a very merry Christmas and a happy new year and, Mike, you have a good vacation.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

You deserve it, very well deserve it.

11. ADJOURNMENT

SERPE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 9:10 P.M.